

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/797,715	Applicant(s) CHEN ET AL.	
	Examiner KARI L. SCHMIDT	Art Unit 2439	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) KARI L. SCHMIDT. (3) ____.

(2) Richard Berg. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 15 December 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 53-59.

Identification of prior art discussed: n/a.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner phoned the applicants representative regarding an examiner's amendment to add canceled claim 53 as new claim 61 and amend claims 54-55 and 57-59 to depend off claim 61 in order to allow the case. Authorization was given by an examiner's amendment by Richard Berg on December 15, 2008 for the examiner's amendment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Kari L Schmidt/ Examiner, Art Unit 2439	
---	--